

Destruction of Aflatoxins in Contaminated Maize Samples using Ammoniation Procedures**H.S. NYANDIEKA^{*1}, J.O. MAINA¹ AND C. NYAMWANGE¹**¹*Department of Medical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Moi University, P.O. Box 4606-30100 Eldoret, Kenya.*

Because of widespread occurrence of aflatoxins and the potential hazards associated with consumption of aflatoxin-contaminated foodstuffs, a study was undertaken to determine how best to destroy aflatoxin in contaminated maize samples. Strains of *Aspergillus parasiticus* were used to contaminate maize to produce 1000 µg/kg of contaminated test sample. Ammoniation procedure in different concentrations of ammonia was adopted for aflatoxin destruction. Aflatoxin concentrations were determined by HPLC using fluorescence detection. The results obtained showed a proportional increase in aflatoxin destruction with the increase of ammonia concentrations. This study therefore established that ammoniation treatment under high pressure and at high ammonia concentration is more destructive to aflatoxins than treatment under atmospheric or low pressure. Ammoniation procedures may be considered suitable for large scale destruction of aflatoxins that contaminate foodstuffs stored in warm moist places.

Key words: Aflatoxins, contaminated foodstuffs, ammoniation procedures.**INTRODUCTION**

Aflatoxins are mold metabolites of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* that contaminate foodstuffs stored in warm moist places [1]. These toxins are not only hepatotoxic but are also hepatocarcinogenic in a wide variety of animals and humans, causing acute hepatitis, cirrhosis and hepatoma [2-3]. A positive correlation between aflatoxin levels in the food eaten and the pattern of liver cancer incidence in humans has been demonstrated [3-5]. Exposure to these toxins is therefore a public health hazard in areas where food production and storage conditions are conducive to mold spoilage and consequent aflatoxin production [1].

Aflatoxin contamination is not only a potential source of health hazards but is also involved in the spoilage of agricultural commodities such as nuts and cereal grains that are usually preserved by reduction of moisture content drying processes [6]. Contamination of these commodities often occurs in areas where the prevailing temperatures are high and favored by warmth and high humidity [6]. According to

estimates of Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) about 25% of the world's food crops are affected by aflatoxin contamination every year [7].

Although aflatoxins are frequent contaminants of a wide variety of cereal grains and groundnuts, contaminated maize staples are the main source of dietary aflatoxins consumed daily, especially in developing countries [3-9]. For example significant aflatoxin contamination levels were detected in several corn-based commodities such as corn on cob, corn drink, corn flour and corn flakes which were ready for consumption [8]. Since discontinuing the feeding of aflatoxin-contaminated maize staples is not always practicable, especially when alternative foodstuffs are not readily available or affordable, this study was undertaken to assess the efficiency of ammoniation procedure in the destruction of aflatoxins in maize samples. Ammonia reagent was chosen for this study because the products formed by ammoniation reaction do not revert back to aflatoxins and their toxicity is negligible [10].

* Author to whom correspondence may be addressed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture of Fungal Parasites

Aspergillus parasiticus strain was subcultured on potato dextrose agar for 7 days at 25°C. The fungal strain was then activated on potato dextrose agar media which consisted of 100 g peeled potatoes, 10 g dextrose and 10 g agar in 500 ml distilled water.

Contamination of maize samples

White dry maize sample (50 kg) was artificially infected with *Aspergillus parasiticus* strain as previously described [11-12]. A final concentration of contaminated maize sample was prepared by adding aflatoxin-free maize and blended the final mixture to produce 1000 µg/kg contamination level.

The destruction procedures

Destruction of aflatoxin in contaminated maize was carried out by ammoniation procedure as previously described [12]. The moisture content of 50 kg contaminated maize was adjusted to 18% wet matter. They were divided into five batches of 10 kg each. Then ammonia was sprayed to provide a level of 0.25%, 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% ammonia on dry matter. Each ammonia concentration was used to spray 10 kg contaminated maize under low pressure (5 kg) and high pressure (5 kg) ammonium treatment.

Extraction and determination of aflatoxins

Extraction and clean up of aflatoxins in all samples were performed using well established procedures [13]. The final residues of aflatoxins AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁ and AFG₂ were determined by a slightly modified method of High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) described previously [14]. All solvents used were of the HPLC grade.

The procedure can be summarized as follows: to a final aflatoxin residue, 200 µl hexane was added followed by 50 µl trifluoroacetic acid

(TFA) and mixed by a vortex shaker and then left to stand for 5 min. A mixture of 1.0 ml distilled water and 1.0 ml acetonitrile was added and then left to stand for 10 min. The hexane layer was discarded. A 20 µl of TFA-treated sample was used for determination of aflatoxins by HPLC technique.

For these analytical procedures aflatoxin standards AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁ and AFG₂ at concentrations of AFB₁ (0.76 µM), AFB₂ (0.48 µM), AFG₁ (0.55 µM), and AFG₂ (0.75 µM) were dissolved in methanol. The methanol was evaporated before the HPLC analysis of the samples.

The aflatoxin concentration in µg/kg (ppb) of maize samples were calculated using standard curves for each of the aflatoxin standard used. The amount of aflatoxins: AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁ and AFG₂ were statistically analyzed by Microsoft Excel software 2003) using aflatoxin standards for each toxin as shown on table 1. Each standard contained 10 µl/ml.

Table 1: Aflatoxin levels in artificially contaminated maize by *Aspergillus parasiticus*.

Toxins	Sample	Conc.µg/kg
AFB ₁	10 kg	96.0 ± 1.2
AFB ₂	10 kg	92.0 ± 1.4
AFG ₁	10 kg	87.6 ± 1.6
AFG ₂	10 kg	86.4 ± 1.8
Mean	10 kg	90.5 ± 1.5

Values are means ± SE of the mean of 10 kg maize sample.

The effect of ammoniation treatment under high pressure on aflatoxin destruction were statistically analyzed by Excel software using aflatoxin standards containing 10µg/ml. Values are expressed as percent means ± SE of the mean for 10kg of maize sample (Table 2)

Table 2: Effect of ammoniation treatment under atmospheric or low pressure on destruction of aflatoxins. (% Mean \pm S.E)

Ammonia Conc.	0.25%	0.5%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%
Sample	10 kg	10 kg	10 kg	10 kg	10 kg
Total toxin	41 \pm 3.6*	71 \pm 2.9*	81 \pm 1.8*	86 \pm 1.4	90 \pm 0.9
AFB ₁	40 \pm 3.7*	65 \pm 3.2*	77 \pm 2.1*	80 \pm 1.9	88 \pm 1.0
AFB ₂	35 \pm 3.8*	65 \pm 3.2*	75 \pm 2.4*	78 \pm 2.0	85 \pm 1.3
AFG ₁	58 \pm 3.4*	75 \pm 2.4*	85 \pm 1.5*	95 \pm 0.7*	96 \pm 0.6
AFG ₂	30 \pm 3.9*	74 \pm 2.5*	84 \pm 1.6*	90 \pm 0.9	93 \pm 0.8

* Values statistically significant where P<0.05

The effect of different concentrations of ammonia on aflatoxin destruction were statistically analyzed by Excel software using aflatoxin standards containing 10 μ g/ml.

Values are expressed as percent mean \pm SE of the mean from 10 kg of maize sample (Table 3).

Table 3: Effect of ammoniation treatment under high pressure on aflatoxin destruction (% Mean \pm S.E)

Ammonia Conc.	0.25%	0.5%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%
Sample	10 kg	10 kg	10 kg	10 kg	10 kg
Total toxin	71 \pm 2.9*	90 \pm 0.9*	95 \pm 0.7	97 \pm 0.5	97 \pm 0.5
AFB ₁	53 \pm 3.5*	75 \pm 2.4*	98 \pm 0.3*	98 \pm 0.3	98 \pm 0.3
AFB ₂	81 \pm 1.8*	90 \pm 0.9*	98 \pm 0.3	98 \pm 0.3	98 \pm 0.3
AFG ₁	85 \pm 1.5*	95 \pm 0.7*	98 \pm 0.3	99 \pm 0.2	99 \pm 0.2
AFG ₂	81 \pm 1.8*	94 \pm 0.8*	98 \pm 0.3	99 \pm 0.2	99 \pm 0.2

* Values statistically significant where P<0.05

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The efficiency of ammoniation procedures for quantitative determination and destruction of aflatoxins in contaminated maize samples were assessed. The results of quantitative analysis of aflatoxins AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁ and AFG₂ are presented in Table 1. All four aflatoxins were recorded with the highest set of values being AFB₁ (96%) and AFB₂ (92%) compared with AFG₁ and AFG₂. This observation is in agreement with the previous results indicating detection of higher values of group B aflatoxins

in a wide variety of foodstuffs compared with group G aflatoxins [3]. Although it was not possible to establish the origin of high levels of aflatoxins, appropriate storage conditions may have caused rapid mold growth and consequent aflatoxin production.

The effect of ammoniation treatment low atmospheric pressure on aflatoxin destruction is shown in table 2. A proportional increase in the destruction of all four types of aflatoxins was observed with the increase of ammonia concentration. Statistical analysis revealed significant differences among the effects

of 0.25%, 0.5% and 1.0% ammonia concentration for aflatoxin destruction. No significant differences were noted between 1.5% and 2.0% ammonia concentration. Comparable results were reported by other investigators who found that ammoniation treatment under low pressure reduced aflatoxin content in corn to undetectable levels [12, 15].

Data presented in table 3 contains the results of the effect of ammoniation treatment under high pressure on aflatoxin destruction. The results revealed that ammoniation treatment under high pressure was more destructive to aflatoxins than treatment under low pressure. AFB₁ recorded the lowest rate of destruction at 0.25% and 0.5% ammonia concentrations. The results also indicated that 1.0% ammoniation treatment resulted in destruction of 98% of all four types of aflatoxins and remained unchanged for group B aflatoxins regardless of high ammonia concentration. In general, group G aflatoxins recorded the maximum destruction percent rate both at low and high treatment pressure compared with group B aflatoxins. These effects were enhanced with an increase in the concentration of ammonia.

CONCLUSION

In the present study the efficiency of ammoniation technique to decontaminate aflatoxin-contaminated maize samples was assessed in terms of percentage destruction of aflatoxins. A proportional increase in aflatoxin destruction with the increase in ammonia concentrations was observed. Ammoniation treatment under high pressure and at high ammonia concentration offered the maximum percent aflatoxin destruction than treatment under low atmospheric pressure. Therefore the use of ammoniation technique to destroy aflatoxins that contaminate a variety of foodstuffs may be economically viable for commercial application.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.N. Wogan, In H. Busch (ed). *Methods in Cancer Research*, Academic Press, New York 7 (1973) 309-344.
- [2] P.M. Newberne and W.H. Butler, *Cancer Res* 29(1969) 236-250.
- [3] M.E. Alpert, M.S.R. Hutt, G.N. Wogan and C.S. Davidson, *Cancer* 28 (1971) 253-260.
- [4] F.G. Peers and C.A. Linsell, *Brit J. Cancer* 27 (1973) 473-484.
- [5] H.S. Nyandieka and J. Wakhisi, *Pakistan J. Med Res* 35 (1996) 113-115.
- [6] J.A. Abalaka and J.A. Elegbede, *Food Chem. Toxicol* 20 (1982) 43-46.
- [7] J. Mannon and E. Johnson, *New Scientist* 105 (1985) 12-16.
- [8] D.L. Park and B. Liang, *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, October 4 (1993) 334-343.
- [9] P. Temcharoen, T. Anukarahanonta and N. Bhamarapavati, *Cancer Res* 38(1978) 2185-2190.

- [10] T.D. Phillips, B.A. Clement and D.L. Park, in approaches to reduction of aflatoxins in foods and feeds: the toxicology of aflatoxins: Human Health, Veterinary and agricultural significance, Academic Press, Inc. USA, Part III 1994, P. 383-406.
- [11] R.C. Codner, K. Sargeant and Yeo, Microbiological Biotechnology and Bioengineering 5 (1963) 185-192.
- [12] M.N.E. Gomaa, A.M. Ayesh, M.M. Abdel Galil and N. Khayria, Mycotoxin Research 13(1997) 23-34.
- [13] Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOCA). Official Methods of Analysis (15th Ed), Washington, D.C. 1990.
- [14] D.L. Park, S. Nesheim, M.W. Truckses, M.E. Stark and R.F. Newell, J. Assoc. Off. Anal Chem 73(2), Intern. Symp Workshop book 1990.
- [15] W.P. Norred, J. Food Protection 45 (1982) 972-976.
-