

Emerging Trends and Best Practices in Startup Incubation: A Contextual Analysis for the African Innovation Ecosystem

By: Adedoja Olufunlayo Adewale 1

Abstract

This study explores emerging global trends in entrepreneurship and innovation support systems, with a focus on how these models can inform and strengthen incubation practices in the African context. Drawing from a wide range of scholarly literature, policy documents, white papers, and case studies, the research identifies key developments such as virtual and hybrid incubation models, industry- and people-specific programs, alternative funding mechanisms, deep tech integration, and university-based innovation hubs. It also examines how government policies, such as Nigeria's Startup Act, France's La French Tech, and Japan's J-Startup, facilitate innovation-driven economic growth. Using a qualitative, context-based approach, the study synthesizes secondary data to understand how these innovations can be adapted to local realities, particularly in Nigeria and similar developing ecosystems. The findings highlight the importance of tailored policy frameworks, collaborative ecosystems, and inclusive program designs in building resilient and impactful innovation systems across Africa.

Key Words: Startup incubation, innovation, entrepreneurship, Africa

1. Introduction

Entrepreneurship has emerged as a distinct academic discipline, characterized by its unique methodologies and theoretical frameworks (Aldrich, 2012). Croci (2016) posits that entrepreneurship operates both autonomously and interdisciplinarily, emphasizing its multifaceted nature. Barot (2015) further defines entrepreneurship as a practice initiated through action and the establishment of new organizations, highlighting its transformative impact on individual paradigms. Chang et al. (2015) introduced the concept of "art entrepreneurship," focusing on the management processes that encompass creativity, autonomy, adaptability, and the generation of artistic, economic, and social values. Collectively, these perspectives underscore entrepreneurship's role in job creation and economic development, necessitating a workforce equipped with technical skills and managerial acumen (Barot, 2015; Chang et al., 2015).

Innovation, closely intertwined with entrepreneurship, is often described as the generation and implementation of new ideas, leading to novel products, processes, or services. Urabe (1988) emphasizes that innovation drives national economic growth and employment. Schumpeter (1934) conceptualizes innovation as the economic manifestation of technological change, involving new combinations of existing productive forces to address business challenges. Twiss (1989) expands on

¹ Startups Program Lead, LIOZIO Innovation and Startups Technology, Ibadan, Nigeria, E-Mail: adedoiaadewale77@smail.com

this by defining innovation as a process that integrates science, technology, economics, and management, culminating in commercialization.

The synergy between entrepreneurship and innovation often materializes in the form of startups, new ventures that leverage innovative ideas to disrupt existing markets. Startups differ significantly from regular businesses in their focus, growth trajectory and funding methods.

Incubation plays a critical role at the intersection of entrepreneurship, innovation, and startups. Incubators provide essential support, such as community, mentorship, resources, and networking opportunities, to early-stage ventures, facilitating their growth and scalability. In regions like Africa, and Nigeria in particular, the entrepreneurial landscape is undeniably vibrant, characterized by a growing population of young, creative, and tech-savvy individuals. However, this promise is consistently challenged by systemic constraints such as limited access to funding, inadequate infrastructure, weak policy support, and skills gaps (Odeyemi et al., 2024; Ajayi, 2021). These barriers hinder the scalability and sustainability of startups, making it difficult for many promising ventures to survive beyond the ideation phase.

Startup incubators, therefore, are not just complementary, they are crucial enablers in reimagining the entrepreneurial support framework. They provide an ecosystem where early-stage ventures can access mentorship, seed capital, co-working spaces, and critical market linkages that would otherwise be out of reach (Akinyosoye & Oduwole, 2022). In economies where government-backed support is often limited or bureaucratic, these innovation hubs fill vital gaps, driving job creation, local solutions, and inclusive economic growth (Chukwuma et al., 2023).

Moreover, Africa's startup ecosystem is becoming a global focal point, with increasing foreign investments and a surge in technology-driven innovations, particularly in fintech, agritech, healthtech, and edtech (Partech, 2023). Yet, without effective incubation structures, much of this potential could remain untapped. Incubators help de-risk early ventures, making them more attractive to investors and improving their chances of success (OECD, 2019).

Thus, understanding, optimizing, and investing in startup incubation programs is essential not only for scaling innovative enterprises but also for achieving broader development goals such as poverty

reduction, youth employment, and technological self-reliance (UNCTAD, 2017). The topic holds significant relevance as it aligns with the African Union's Agenda 2063 and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), both of which emphasize innovation and entrepreneurship as pillars of sustainable development.

This paper explores contemporary trends and best practices in incubation for organisations in Africa like LIOZIOHub to establish themselves as leaders in innovation within their communities.

2. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design, centered on a comprehensive review and synthesis of secondary data sources. The research draws insights from scholarly literature, policy frameworks, academic journals, industry reports, and case studies from the global entrepreneurship and innovation ecosystems. Emphasis was placed on identifying emerging trends in entrepreneurship systems, innovation policy, venture incubation, and inclusive startup models that can be adopted in the African ecosystem.

A contextual and comparative analysis was employed to assess the adaptability of these global practices, such as deep tech incubation, SDG-aligned funding, people-specific programs, alternative financing models, and university-linked innovation hubs, to the African innovation landscape, with a focus on Nigeria.

Furthermore, thematic coding was applied to extract patterns related to government collaboration, venture studio emergence, technology integration, and ecosystem diversity. Special attention was given to recent developments such as the Nigerian Startup Act (2022), and the role of platforms like Republic and WeFunder in democratizing startup capital. By synthesizing these diverse perspectives, this study provides a grounded understanding of how Africa's innovation systems can evolve through strategic adaptation of global best practices.

3. Latest Trends and Best Practices in Startup Incubation, Innovation and Entrepreneurship

3.1 Virtual and Hybrid Incubation Models

The COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed a significant transformation in the delivery of entrepreneurship and incubation programs, propelling a shift towards virtual and hybrid models (Ghannad & Sorensson, 2024). This evolution has democratized access to mentorship, resources, and networks, enabling startup founders and entrepreneurs to engage with support systems irrespective of geographical constraints.

The African Development Bank's Innovation and Entrepreneurship Lab exemplifies this transition. Leveraging an AI-powered virtual platform, ENNOVA, the Lab connects ecosystem actors, offering financial support and resources to startups across Africa. Similarly, the Tony Elumelu Foundation's Entrepreneurship Programme operates entirely online, providing African entrepreneurs with 12 weeks of intensive training, mentorship, and seed capital through its digital platform, TEFConnect.

Globally, renowned accelerators have adapted to these models too. Y Combinator, for instance, has transitioned to a hybrid format, combining virtual mentorship with periodic in-person engagements, thereby expanding its reach and inclusivity. Similarly, Techstars operates approximately 50 accelerator programs worldwide, offering virtual, in-person, and hybrid options across various industries.

Academic literature underscores the efficacy of these models. A study by Ratten (2021) highlights how virtual incubators have become instrumental in fostering entrepreneurship, particularly in regions with limited physical infrastructure. Furthermore, recent developments indicate that virtual and hybrid arrangements signify a permanent change in event organization and implementation, extending beyond the pandemic period.

These suggest that virtual and hybrid incubation models are not merely temporary adaptations but represent a sustainable evolution in supporting entrepreneurship. By embracing digital platforms, incubation programs in Nigeria and Africa can enhance their inclusivity, and resilience, thereby playing a pivotal role in nurturing innovation and economic development globally.

3.2 Industry-Specific Incubation Programs

In recent years, there has been a notable shift toward industry-specific incubation programs, where organizations design initiatives to support startups within a particular sector. This approach enables incubators to offer targeted resources, expert mentorship, and tailored support mechanisms to address industry-specific challenges and opportunities (Wright et al., 2007).

A prime example is the Mastercard Foundation EdTech Fellowship, which supports innovative EdTech startups across Africa. In partnership with local accelerators and innovation hubs, the fellowship provides tailored business and financial support, insights into learning, and access to networks that can help scale solutions for education across the continent. In 2024 alone, 92 EdTech companies showcased their innovations across different African countries, reflecting the fellowship's commitment to industry-focused capacity building (Mastercard Foundation, 2025).

Industry-specific programs like this may seem narrow in scope, but research shows they significantly improve startup outcomes. Gauthier and Lhuillery (2023) found that programs aligned with a parent organization's core business domain, such as fintech, healthcare, or education, tend to yield better strategic fit, greater funding success, and improved long-term performance.

Moreover, incubators focusing on sectors such as creative industries, biotech, and ICT are more likely to provide access to sector-specific resources, mentoring, and investor networks, thus fostering innovation and sustainability (Bagiyam et al., 2024; Wright et al., 2007). These tailored services allow founders to focus on product-market fit and scaling, rather than on navigating generalized startup challenges.

By concentrating expertise and support within a given industry, such programs contribute not only to startup success but also to the development of robust sectoral ecosystems (Montgomery County Office of Legislative Oversight, 2025). This focus ultimately drives sustainable innovation and economic development within the continent.

3.3 People-Specific Incubation Programs

People-specific incubation programs are tailored to support distinct demographic groups, such as women, youth, students, individuals with disabilities, and underserved communities, by addressing

systemic barriers to entrepreneurship. These programs provide customized mentorship, funding, and networking opportunities to foster inclusive innovation ecosystems. Although there are few examples of business incubators that are fully dedicated to providing support for entrepreneurs that represent underserved and disadvantaged groups (e.g. women, youth, the unemployed, people with disabilities), there are some positive results (OECD, 2019).

A notable example is GreenHouse Lab, Nigeria's first female-focused tech accelerator, founded by Nichole Yembra in 2018. This three-month program targets early-stage, female-led technology startups across Africa, offering intensive mentorship, access to funding, and workspace at Lagos's Vibranium Valley tech hub. In partnership with Google Launchpad, GreenHouse Lab equips participants with the skills and resources needed to scale their ventures in emerging markets (Yembra, 2018).

Another significant initiative is the Aspiring Entrepreneurs Programme (AEP) by FATE Foundation, which includes a dedicated cohort for Persons with Disabilities (PWDs). In partnership with Youth Business International and funded by the Standard Chartered Foundation's Futuremakers initiative, the AEP provides enterprise training, business formalization support, mentoring, and advisory services to young Nigerian entrepreneurs, including PWDs. The program aims to equip participants with the necessary skills and knowledge to start and grow sustainable businesses, thereby promoting economic inclusion and empowerment (FATE Foundation, 2022).

Research underscores the efficacy of such targeted programs. A study by the National Women's Business Council (2015) found that women entrepreneurs participating in incubators and accelerators invested more capital in their first year and were more likely to secure external funding compared to non-participants. The study also highlighted the importance of networking opportunities and skill acquisition in these programs (Robb and Coleman, 2014).

Similarly, the Women of Color Incubator by the Women's Business Enterprise National Council (WBENC) provides aspiring entrepreneurs at Historically Black Colleges and Universities with comprehensive support, including mentorship, financial strategy workshops, and pitch competitions, culminating in opportunities to connect with a vast network of industry professionals (WBENC, 2024).

These people-specific programs not only empower underrepresented groups but also contribute to broader economic development by fostering diverse and inclusive entrepreneurial ecosystems.

3.4 Emphasis on SDG-Driven Ventures

The 17 SDGs are a global strategy targeted at solving a spectrum of essential climate, social, economic, and cooperative challenges vital for the survival of humanity (Shabbir, 2023; UN General Assembly, 2015). In recent years, there has been a significant shift in incubation and entrepreneurship programs from focusing solely on profit potential to emphasizing alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This transition reflects a broader recognition that innovation and entrepreneurship are pivotal in addressing global challenges and fostering sustainable economic growth (Rodriguez- Pena, 2025).

Many incubation programs now incorporate SDG-related criteria into their eligibility requirements, underscoring the importance of sustainability and social impact. For instance, the Social Impact Accelerator (SIA) 3.0 by I-Venture at ISB is a six-month program dedicated to supporting social enterprises that develop innovative solutions aligned with the SDGs, particularly in areas such as agriculture, livelihood, sustainability, and water & sanitation (I-Venture @ ISB, 2024).

Similarly, Google's Startups for Sustainable Development program supports a global ecosystem of impact-focused startups. The program provides startups with access to advisors, funding, and platform technology, using the UN's 17 SDGs as a framework for measuring impact (Google, 2024). Research indicates that such SDG-driven approaches in incubation programs are instrumental in nurturing sustainability-oriented startups. A study by Surana, Singh, and Sagar (2020) emphasizes the role of science, technology, and innovation-based incubators in achieving the SDGs, highlighting the need for targeted support and capacity building to foster impactful entrepreneurship.

Furthermore, the SEED Initiative, a global partnership for action on sustainable development, supports social and environmental enterprises in developing countries. By providing tailored support packages, including business and technical assistance, SEED helps startups scale their impact while contributing to poverty eradication and environmental sustainability (SEED, 2024).

These developments signify a paradigm shift in evaluating startup success, where positive societal and environmental impact is considered alongside financial performance. As a result, startups are increasingly encouraged to integrate sustainability into their core business models, contributing to the broader goal of sustainable development.

3.5 University-Based Innovation Hubs

Integrating innovation hubs within universities has become a strategic initiative to bridge the gap between academic research and entrepreneurial practice. By situating these hubs on campuses, institutions aim to foster a culture of innovation among students and faculty, providing them with the resources and support needed to translate ideas into viable ventures (Banele et al., 2023).

The College Research and Innovation Hub (CRIH) at the University of Ibadan serves as a notable example. Established in 2019, CRIH has been instrumental in promoting research among medical students through structured programs and mentorship. The hub operates through journal clubs focused on various medical disciplines, enhancing students' research skills and fostering interdisciplinary collaborations (Olajide et al., 2024).

Similarly, the University Co-Creation/Innovation Hub (U-COHUB) at the University of Lagos aims to integrate innovation and entrepreneurship into the university curriculum. U-COHUB provides a platform for students to engage in co-creation activities, facilitating the development of entrepreneurial skills and fostering a culture of innovation within the academic environment (World Scientific, 2024).

Research supports the efficacy of such initiatives. Adegbiji (2022) conducted a study on innovation hubs in Lagos State, highlighting that well-equipped hubs have successfully nurtured startups, some of which have developed products with international reach. The study emphasizes the importance of providing conducive environments that combine technical resources with entrepreneurial support to drive innovation.

By embedding innovation hubs within academic institutions, Nigeria is cultivating a new generation of entrepreneurs equipped with the skills, resources, and networks necessary to drive sustainable economic growth.

3.6 Alternative Funding Mechanisms

The landscape of startup financing has undergone a significant transformation, moving beyond traditional venture capital to embrace a diverse array of funding options (Horque, 2024). This evolution has democratized access to capital, enabling startups to secure funding through innovative mechanisms such as revenue-based financing, crowdfunding, and decentralized finance (DeFi).

3.6.1 Revenue-Based Financing (RBF): RBF has emerged as a viable alternative to equity-based funding, particularly for startups with predictable revenue streams. This model allows entrepreneurs to repay investors through a percentage of their monthly revenue, aligning the interests of both parties without diluting ownership (Dey, 2024). A study by Lizaveta Peniaz (2024) highlights the growing adoption of RBF, noting its appeal to startups seeking flexible repayment terms and investors interested in predictable returns.

3.6.2 Crowdfunding Platforms: Platforms like Republic and WeFunder have revolutionized the fundraising process by enabling everyday individuals to invest in startups. These platforms facilitate equity crowdfunding, allowing investors to acquire ownership stakes in companies for as little as \$100. According to a report by Wefunder, the platform has deployed over \$515 million in investments, funded over 2,000 founders, and created more than 29,000 jobs, demonstrating the significant impact of community-driven funding (Maitland-Lewis & Vaskis, 2024).

3.6.3 Decentralized Finance (DeFi): DeFi leverages blockchain technology to offer financial services without traditional intermediaries. Startups can utilize DeFi platforms for fundraising through mechanisms like Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs) and tokenized assets. A study by Momtaz (2024) examines the efficiency of ICO markets, noting that decentralized platforms can lower entry barriers and increase market granularity, thereby enhancing access to capital for startups.

These alternative funding mechanisms not only provide startups with diverse avenues to raise capital but also foster a more inclusive and resilient entrepreneurial ecosystem. By lowering investment barriers and expanding access to a broader network of investors, these platforms empower startups to build strong communities of support systems who are invested in their success.

3.7 Emphasis on Deep Tech

In recent years, many incubator programs have increasingly prioritized deep tech sectors such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Robotics, Biotechnology, and Machine Learning. These specialized fields often form the core eligibility criteria for applicants, reflecting the growing recognition of their transformative potential across industries.

For instance, the Deep Tech Incubation Program by DAUST focuses on supporting early-stage entrepreneurs committed to developing innovative engineering and technology based on scientific breakthroughs. The program emphasizes the commercialization of research-driven technologies, providing entrepreneurs with the necessary resources to bring their innovations to market (DAUST, 2023).

Similarly, the Embark Deep Tech Startup Creator at the University of Colorado Boulder connects entrepreneurs with breakthrough innovations, particularly in sectors like biotechnology, cleantech, health and wellness, and advanced materials. The program offers IP rights, salary support, grant funds, startup acceleration resources, and investor introductions to selected entrepreneurs.

The Ascent Deep Tech Accelerator, also at CU Boulder, is tailored for research teams building deep tech startups emerging from the university's campuses. It provides a supportive ecosystem for startups, facilitating their growth and development in deep tech sectors (University of Colorado Boulder, 2024).

Globally, organizations like Startuphootcamp have launched specialized accelerator programs focusing on deep tech innovations in AI, robotics, quantum computing, biotechnology, and advanced materials. These programs aim to help founders address industry-specific challenges such as technology commercialization and bridging the gap between research and market-ready products (Startuphootcamp, 2024).

Additionally, SOSV, a venture capital firm, provides pre-seed, seed, Series A, and later-stage funding to deep tech startups through its startup development programs located in New York City, Newark, New Jersey, and San Francisco. SOSV's programs, such as HAX and IndieBio, focus on sectors like industrial decarbonization, automation, robotics, and human health (SOSV, 2024).

These initiatives underscore the increasing emphasis on deep tech in incubation programs, highlighting the sector's pivotal role in driving innovation and addressing complex global challenges.

3.8 Venture Studios

Venture studios, such as LIOZIOHub, Antler and High Alpha, have emerged as influential entities in the startup ecosystem by adopting a hands-on approach to company creation. Unlike traditional incubators and accelerators, venture studios co-build startups alongside entrepreneurs, providing not only capital but also operational support, strategic guidance, and access to a network of industry experts. This model facilitates the rapid development and scaling of startups, addressing common challenges faced during the early stages of business formation.

Research indicates that startups co-founded by venture studios achieve seed funding approximately twice as fast and reach exit stages 33% faster than their counterparts established through traditional methods. Additionally, these startups tend to scale more efficiently and deliver higher returns on investment. For instance, High Alpha's portfolio companies have demonstrated a 53% internal rate of return (IRR), significantly outperforming the 21% IRR average for non-studio startups.

In addition to fostering internal innovation, venture studios are forming strategic partnerships with governments, corporations, and research institutions to build robust innovation ecosystems. These alliances facilitate access to funding, mentorship, and infrastructure, creating a conducive environment for startups to thrive. For example, the collaboration between large companies and startups through various models, including accelerators, incubators, and innovation hubs, has been shown to enhance the growth and success of new ventures.

3.9 Strengthened Government Collaboration: The Nigerian Startup Act (2022)

In October 2022, Nigeria enacted the Nigerian Startup Act (NSA), a landmark legislation designed to foster a conducive environment for startups and position Nigeria as a leading digital technology hub in Africa (Nigerian Startup Act, 2022).

The NSA introduces several key provisions to support startups:

3.9.1 Startup Label: A certification granted to eligible startups, providing access to various incentives and support mechanisms.

3.9.2 Tax Incentives: Labelled startups benefit from tax reliefs under the Pioneer Status Incentive Scheme, including exemptions from income tax for an initial period of three years, extendable by two years.

3.9.3 Startup Investment Seed Fund: A fund established to provide early-stage financing for startups, aiming to bridge the funding gap and stimulate innovation.

3.9.4 Regulatory Sandboxes: Mechanisms that allow startups to test innovative products and services in a controlled environment, facilitating regulatory compliance and fostering innovation.

3.9.5 Intellectual Property Support: The Act emphasizes the importance of intellectual property rights, providing frameworks for protection and commercialization of innovations.

Despite its promising framework, the NSA faces challenges in implementation, including bureaucratic bottlenecks and limited awareness among stakeholders. Addressing these issues is crucial to fully realize the Act's potential in transforming Nigeria's startup ecosystem.

Beyond the Nigerian Startup Act, governments around the world have implemented strategic policies to strengthen their innovation ecosystems and support startup development. In Japan, the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry launched the J-Startup Program to accelerate high-potential startups through mentoring, subsidies, and global expansion support, while initiatives like the Startup Visa and JETRO's Global Acceleration Hub promote international collaboration and talent attraction (BuildPlus, 2024; JETRO, 2025; METI, 2022). Germany's Future Fund and ERP Special Assets are set to collectively allocate billions of euros to deepen investments in artificial intelligence, climate tech, and biotechnology, reinforcing the country's position in deep tech innovation (Morgan Lewis, 2024; BMWK,2024). Similarly, France's "La French Tech" initiative actively supports scaleups through programs such as French Tech Next40/120, offering operational support and internationalization aid (La French Tech, 2024). In Latin America, Chile's renowned Start-Up Chile program provides equity-free funding and a conducive environment to attract global entrepreneurs (Startup Chile, 2025). These government initiatives demonstrate a global trend toward proactive state involvement in fostering innovation-driven economies through policies, funding, infrastructure, and international partnerships.

4. Discussion

The global landscape of startup incubation and innovation is undergoing a significant transformation, characterized by the convergence of digital acceleration, targeted program models, alternative financing mechanisms, and robust public-private partnerships. As the discourse around inclusive entrepreneurship evolves, innovation hubs, accelerators, and venture studios are tailoring their models to meet the distinct needs of diverse stakeholders, from youth and women to deep tech ventures and SDG-aligned startups. In this evolving context, Africa must not be left behind. With its vibrant entrepreneurial spirit and untapped market potential, the continent stands at a critical juncture where embracing these global trends is essential to unlocking inclusive and sustainable economic growth. The rise of virtual and hybrid incubation models post-COVID-19 has broadened access to entrepreneurial resources globally, allowing programs like the African Development Bank's Innovation Lab and the Tony Elumelu Foundation's Entrepreneurship Program to scale support through digital platforms (African Development Bank, 2022; Tony Elumelu Foundation, 2025). Simultaneously, industry-specific and people-specific programs, such as the Mastercard Foundation EdTech Fellowship and FATE Foundation's AEP for People with Special Needs, demonstrate a strategic shift toward focused impact, fostering deeper ecosystems within specialized domains (Mastercard Foundation, 2025; FATE Foundation, 2022).

Governments now play a more active role in these ecosystems. Nigeria's Startup Act (2022) sets a benchmark for regulatory frameworks designed to promote innovation through IP protection, tax incentives, and talent development. Similar initiatives globally including Japan's J-Startup, Germany's Future Fund, France's La French Tech, and Start-Up Chile reflect a growing consensus that state-backed policies and funding instruments are essential for enabling scalable innovation (METI, 2022; BMWK, 2024; La French Tech, 2024; Startup Chile, 2025). As innovation becomes a key driver of economic resilience and competitiveness, more African governments should emulate these models by crafting inclusive, forward-looking policies that strengthen local ecosystems and enable entrepreneurs to thrive.

Moreover, university-based innovation hubs like CRIH and U-COHUBHub have leveraged campus environments to nurture student-led ventures, aligning academia with market innovation. The emergence of alternative funding models, including crowdfunding platforms like Republic and WeFunder, underscores a democratization of startup capital, shifting fundraising from exclusive

investor networks to participatory ecosystems. Alongside, deep tech-focused incubators are cultivating ventures in AI, robotics, and biotech, recognizing the strategic value of scientific entrepreneurship in global competitiveness (Goel et al., 2021).

Finally, venture studios such as LIOZIOHub exemplify a co-creation approach to entrepreneurship, offering a hands-on model that bridges ideation, product development, and early traction. Collectively, these trends underscore a paradigm shift: successful innovation ecosystems are now defined by collaboration, inclusion, and sustainability, rather than solely by financial outcomes.

5. Conclusion

The evolution of innovation and incubation ecosystems reflects a deliberate shift toward inclusivity, sustainability, and systemic impact. Stakeholders, from policymakers and academic institutions to private investors and community actors, are rethinking how innovation is nurtured, funded, and scaled. As demonstrated, the most resilient ecosystems are those that embrace hybridity, sector specificity, deep tech integration, alternative capital flows, and inclusive participation.

Governments have a critical role to play in catalyzing these ecosystems through regulatory clarity, funding infrastructure, and public-private collaboration. Incubators and accelerators must continue to refine their models to support not only startup survival, but also long-term impact aligned with global challenges such as SDGs. With the continued expansion of virtual access, people-specific programs, and global venture collaborations, the future of innovation is both networked and democratized, an ecosystem where entrepreneurship becomes a shared tool for economic transformation, social inclusion, and global development.

References

Adegbiji, A. P. (2022). Technology Entrepreneurship and Innovation Development in Nigeria: A Study of Innovation Hubs in Lagos State. Conference: International Conference of the Nigeria Computer Society

African Development Bank. (2024). The African Development Bank's Innovation & Entrepreneurship Lab. Retrieved from https://www.afdb.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/lab-brochure-3112024_002.pdf(African Development Bank Group)

- Ajayi, O. (2021). Bridging the gap: Innovation and youth entrepreneurship in Nigeria. African Journal of Entrepreneurship, 7(1), 45–62.
- Akinyosoye, M., & Oduwole, T. (2022). Innovation hubs as catalysts for economic transformation in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of African Economic Development, 10(3), 120–138.
- Aldrich, H.E. (2012). The Emergence of Entrepreneurship as an Academic Field: a Personal Essay on Institutional Entrepreneurship. Research Policy, 41(7): 1240-1248
- Bagiyam, D., Irfan, M., Singh, S. & Dias, R. (2024). Business Incubators as Tools for Innovation and Growth of Entrepreneurship: A Mixed Method Analysis. In book: Promoting Entrepreneurship and Innovation Through Business Incubation. Publisher: IGI GLOBAL
- Banele, S.D., Gomera, W.C. & Kabelele, D.P. (2023). Innovation Hub a Venture for Students' Entrepreneurial Talents: A Case of College of Business Education. IJRBS VOL 12 NO 5 (2023) ISSN: 2147-4478
- Barot, H. (2015). Understanding Definition of Entrepreneurship. International Journal of Management, Accounting and Economics, 7(5), 236–242.
- BMWK. (2024). Future Fund. Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action. https://www.bmwk.de/Redaktion/EN/Artikel/Economy/future-fund.html
- BuildPlus. (2024). 5 Government Initiatives for Startups in Japan. https://buildplus.io/blog/5-government-support-startups-japan
- Chang, W., Wyszomirski, M., & Beckman, G. D. (2015). Arts and Entrepreneurship: Disentangling the Literature.
- Chukwuma, K., Adegbite, A., & Nwankwo, J. (2023). Startup Ecosystems and the Role of Business Incubators in Nigeria. International Review of Business and Innovation, 14(2), 77–94.
- Croci, C.L.(2016). Is Entrepreneurship a Discipline? Honors Theses and Capstones. 296.
- DAUST. (2023). Deep Tech Incubation Program. Retrieved from https://daust.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Deep-Tech-Incubation-Program.pdf
- Dey, A. (2024). The Emergence of Alternative Financing Models: An In-depth Analysis of Revenue-Based Financing. Journal of Global Economy, Business and Finance. ISSN: 2141-5595
- FATE Foundation. (2022). AEP Futuremakers. Retrieved from https://fatefoundation.org/project/aepfuturemakers/
- Gauthier, G., & Lhuillery, S. (2023). Corporate accelerator programs: Selection mechanisms and consequences for startups. Small Business Economics 62(4): 1-26

- Ghannad, N. & Sorensson, A. (2024). Entrepreneurial Education in a Pandemic Era: Timeframes, Demographics, and the Nexus Between Teaching and Experiential Learning. Industry and Higher Education 2024, Vol. 0(0) 1–9
- Goel, A., Sharma, R., & Soni, R. (2021). Deep Tech Startups: Challenges and Opportunities. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 10(1), 1-15.
- Google. (2024). Startups for Sustainable Development. Retrieved from https://events.withgoogle.com/startups-for-sustainable-development/
- Horque, M. (2024). Crowdfunding for Innovation: A Comprehensive Empirical Overview. Future Business Journal 10, Article Number: 102(2024)
- I-Venture @ ISB. (2024). Social Impact Accelerator (SIA) 3.0. Retrieved from https://i-venture.org/social-impact-accelerator/
- JETRO. (2025). https://www.jetro.go.jp/usa/jetro-japan-innovation-accelerator/j-startup-program.html
- La French Tech. (2024). The French Tech Next40/120. https://lafrenchtech.com/en/what-wedo/french-tech-next40-120/
- Maitland-Lewis, B., & Vaskis, J. (2024). The do's and don'ts of crowdfunding. University of Hawaii.

 Retrieved from https://research.hawaii.edu/oic/event/fireside-chat-crowdfunding-the-dos-and-donts-ben-maitland-lewis-wefunder-john-vaskis-republic/
- Mastercard Foundation. (2025). Retrieved from https://mastercardfdn.org/en/articles/2025-mastercard-foundation-edtech-fellowship-applications/
- METI. (2022). https://www.meti.go.jp/english/policy/economy/startup nbp/startup visa.html
- Momtaz, P.P. (2024). Decentralized finance (DeFi) markets for startups: search frictions, intermediation, and the efficiency of the ICO market. Small Bus Econ 63, 1415–1447(2024)
- Montgomery County Office of Legislative Oversight. (2025). Best Practices for Business Incubators (OLO Report 2025-4). Rockville, MD. Retrieved from https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/OLO/Resources/Files/2025 reports/OLORepor t2025-4.pdf
- Morgan Lewis. (2024). Federal Government Expands Access to Capital for Startups. https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/2024/03/federal-government-expands-access-to-capital-for-startups
- National Women's Business Council. (2015). Women's Participation in Business Incubators and Accelerators. Retrieved from https://www.nwbc.gov/wp-

content/uploads/2023/11/Womens-Participation-in-Business-Incubators-and-Accelerators FINAL.pdf

- Nigerian Startup Act. (2022). Unlocking Nigeria's Innovation Potential with the Nigeria Startup Act. Retrieved from https://startup.gov.ng/
- Odeyemi, A., Sule, R., & Tunde, F. (2024). Navigating Entrepreneurial Challenges in Nigeria: A Systemic Review. Journal of Emerging Market Studies, 12(1), 22–38.
- Odeyemi, O., Oyewole, A.T., Adeoye, O.B., Ofodile, O.C., Addy, W.A., Okoye, C.C. & Ololade, Y.J. (2024). Entrepreneurship in Africa: A Review of Growth and Challenges. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, Volume 6, Issue 3, March 2024
- OECD. (2019). Policy Brief on Incubators and Accelerators that Support Inclusive Entrepreneurship.

 Retrieved from
 https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2019/05/policy-briefon-incubators-and-accelerators-that-support-inclusiveentrepreneurship b95cd664/d7d81c23-en.pdf
- Olajide, T., et al. (2024). Building a research culture among Nigerian medical students: The modus operandi of the College Research and Innovation Hub. BMC Medical Education, 24(1), 1465.
- Partech. (2023). Africa Tech Venture Capital Report. Retrieved from https://partechpartners.com/
- Peniaz, L. (2024). Rise of alternative funding models: Revenue-based financing. International Journal of Science and Research, 13(9).
- Ratten, V. (2021). Digital entrepreneurship and the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship, 33(6), 627-632.
- Robb, A., & Coleman, S. (2014). Access to Capital by High-Growth Women-Owned Businesses. Premier Quantitative Research.
- Rodriguez-Pena, A. (2025). Corporate Entrepreneurship and the Sustainable Development Goals: Exploring the Connection. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship 14, Article Number: 33(2025)
- Schumpeter, J. A.(1934). Theory of Economic Development: An Inquiry into Profits, Capital, Credit, Interest, and the Business Cycle. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- SEED. (2024). SEED Initiative. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SEED %28organization%29

- Shabbir, M. S. (2023). Exploring the relationship between sustainable entrepreneurship and the United Nations sustainable development goals: A comprehensive literature review. Sustainable Development.
- SOSV. (2024). SOSV: Venture capital firm. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SOSV
- Startupbootcamp. (2024). DeepTech & Robotics Accelerator. Retrieved from https://www.startupbootcamp.org/deeptech-robotics
- Startup Chile. (2025). https://startupchile.org/en/apply/
- Surana, K., Singh, A., & Sagar, A. D. (2020). Strengthening science, technology, and innovation-based incubators to help achieve Sustainable Development Goals: Lessons from India.

 Technological Forecasting and Social Change 157:120057
- Tony Elumelu Foundation. (2025). Apply for the Tony Elumelu Entrepreneurship Programme (TEEP). Retrieved from https://opportunitiesforyouth.org/2025/01/02/apply-for-the-tony-elumelu-entrepreneurship-programme-teep/(Opportunities for Youth)
- Twiss, B.C.(1989). Technological Innovation: Strategies for a New Partnership. R & D Management, Volume 19, Issue 1, page 86-87
- Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie. (2022). Client Update on the Start-Up Act. Retrieved from https://uubo.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Client-Update-on-the-Start-Up-Act.pdf
- UNCTAD. (2017). Innovation, Diversification and Inclusive Development in Africa. Retrieved from https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/ser-rp-2017d2 en.pdf
- UN General Assembly. (2015). Transforming Our Wold. In The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Retrieved from. http://fra.europa.eu/en/law-reference/un-general-assembly-resolution-701-2015-transforming-our-world-2030-agenda
- University of Colorado Boulder. (2024). Ascent Deep Tech Accelerator. Retrieved from https://www.colorado.edu/venturepartners/opportunities-and-events/ascent-deep-tech-accelerator
- University of Colorado Boulder. (2024). Embark Deep Tech Startup Creator. Retrieved from https://www.colorado.edu/venturepartners/opportunities-and-events/embark-deep-tech-startup-creator
- Urabe, K., Child, J. & Kagono, T. (1988). Innovation and Management: International Comparisons. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter.

86

- WBENC. (2024). 2024 Women of Color Incubator: The Inspiring Student Finalists at Howard University. Retrieved from https://www.wbenc.org/news/2024-women-of-color-incubator-the-inspiring-student-finalists-at-howard-university/
- World Scientific. (2024). Integrating Innovation and Entrepreneurship into Curriculum: The Case of University Co-Creation/Innovation Hub (U-COHUB) at University of Lagos. https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/9789819800520_0010
- Wright, M., Clarysse, B., Mustar, P., & Lockett, A. (2007). Academic Entrepreneurship in Europe. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing. Mastercard Foundation. (2025). 2025
- Y Combinator. (2025). Y Combinator. Retrieved from https://www.ycombinator.com/(Y Combinator)
- Yembra, N. (2018). GreenHouse Lab: Focusing on Women-Led Technology Start-Ups. Retrieved from https://www2.fundsforngos.org/cat/greenhouse-labs-focussing-on-women-led-technology-start-ups/
- 4Degrees. (2023). Best Startup Accelerators. Retrieved from https://www.4degrees.ai/blog/best-startup-accelerators(4degrees.ai)